THE PROBLEM OF THE OZONE LAYER: SCIENTIFIC DATA AND DISCUSSION

Zakirova Sayyora Alimovna
PhD in Economics, Associate Professor,
Department of "International Economics"
University of World Economy and Diplomacy
Email: sayyora.6262@gmail.ru
Phone: +998 90 327 77 55
Najimov Parvozbek Ta'lat ugli
1st-year Student of "World Economy and Management"
University of World Economy and Diplomacy
Email: najimovparvoz@gmail.com
Phone: +998 95 265 28 82

Abstract: *This article examines the global problem of ozone layer depletion, focusing on its scientific foundations, data trends, and the continuing international discussion surrounding its recovery. The ozone layer serves as a natural protective barrier, shielding life on Earth from harmful ultraviolet radiation. However, its depletion, first observed in the late 20th century, has had far-reaching consequences for the environment, human health, and climate systems. Through a detailed review of empirical data and scientific research, this paper analyzes the causes of ozone layer thinning, assesses global and regional variations, and explores the effectiveness of international policy measures such as the Montreal Protocol. It also discusses ongoing debates concerning the pace of recovery, the influence of climate change, and the potential risks associated with new industrial emissions. The study concludes that despite observable progress, continuous monitoring and global cooperation remain essential to fully restore and maintain the integrity of the ozone* shield.

Keywords: *ozone layer, ultraviolet radiation, atmospheric chemistry, Montreal Protocol, environmental policy, climate change, stratosphere, global cooperation.*

Introduction

The ozone layer is a fragile but critical component of the Earth's atmosphere. Located in the stratosphere between approximately 10 and 50 kilometers above the surface, it plays a vital role in absorbing harmful ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation emitted by the Sun. Without this protective layer, the Earth's biosphere would face devastating consequences: higher incidences of skin cancer, cataracts, and immune suppression in humans, as well as damage to crops, plankton, and ecosystems that form the foundation of food chains. The importance of the ozone layer became evident only in the latter half of the twentieth century when scientists discovered its depletion. Prior to that, ozone was perceived primarily as a subject of meteorological interest rather than a global environmental concern. The situation changed dramatically in the 1970s when researchers F. Sherwood Rowland, Mario Molina, and Paul Crutzen discovered that human-produced chemicals known as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)and halons were reaching the stratosphere and breaking down ozone molecules through photochemical reactions. The findings shocked the scientific community and led to a historic shift in international environmental awareness. Governments and international organizations realized that the actions of industry—particularly the widespread use of refrigerants, aerosol sprays, and foam-blowing agents—had created a planetary-scale threat. The discovery of the “ozone hole” over Antarctica in 1985 confirmed the urgency of the problem, as measurements showed up to 60% seasonal ozone loss in some regions. This discovery led to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, signed in 1987. The Protocol became one of the most successful examples of global cooperation, demonstrating that collective scientific and political action can reverse environmental damage. Since its implementation, concentrations of many ozone-depleting substances (ODS) have declined significantly, and signs of recovery in the ozone layer have been observed. However, despite these achievements, the issue remains complex. Recent studies indicate that ozone recovery is uneven, influenced by factors such as climate change, stratospheric dynamics, and emissions of short-lived halogenated compounds not fully regulated by the Protocol. Furthermore, geoengineering experiments and large volcanic eruptions, such as the 2022 Hunga Tonga event, have shown potential to disrupt ozone chemistry by injecting massive amounts of water vapor and aerosols into the stratosphere. This article aims to analyze the scientific background, data trends, and current debates surrounding the ozone layer problem. It explores both the historical context of ozone depletion and the modern challenges that continue to shape environmental policy and atmospheric science.

Historical Background of the Ozone Layer Problem

The history of the ozone layer problem is a remarkable journey from scientific discovery to global policy action. Ozone (O_3) was first identified in 1839 by the German chemist Christian Friedrich Schönbein, who named it from the Greek word *ozein*, meaning “to smell,” due to its distinctive odor after lightning storms. Later, scientists realized that ozone existed naturally in the upper atmosphere, forming through the interaction of oxygen molecules with ultraviolet light. In the 1920s and 1930s, French physicists Charles Fabry and Henri Buisson, followed by British meteorologist G.M.B.Dobson, developed spectrophotometric techniques to measure atmospheric ozone. Dobson’s observations led to the establishment of the Dobson Unit (DU), which remains the standard for quantifying total column ozone. A typical global average is around 300 DU, meaning that if compressed, the ozone layer would form a mere 3-millimeter-thick blanket over the planet. By the mid-twentieth century, atmospheric scientists had a solid understanding of how ozone was created and destroyed naturally. The Chapman Cycle, proposed by Sydney Chapman in

1930, described the photochemical equilibrium between ozone production (by UV radiation acting on O_2) and destruction (through ozone–oxygen reactions). However, Chapman's theory could not account for the much faster ozone loss later observed. The real breakthrough and alarm came in the 1970s. Rowland and Molina, studying the stability of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) used in refrigeration and aerosols, discovered that these compounds were reaching the stratosphere intact due to their inertness in the lower atmosphere. Once there, ultraviolet radiation broke them down, releasing chlorine atoms that could catalytically destroy thousands of ozone molecules each. Their 1974 paper in *Nature* warned that continuous CFC emissions could severely thin the ozone layer within decades.

The hypothesis faced initial skepticism, particularly from chemical and industrial corporations. However, mounting evidence soon confirmed their predictions. Observations from the British Antarctic Survey in 1985 revealed a massive seasonal depletion of ozone above Antarctica—the so-called ozone hole. This finding catalyzed a wave of research and international concern. The Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer (1985) established the legal foundation for global cooperation, followed two years later by the Montreal Protocol (1987). The Protocol mandated the gradual elimination of ODS such as CFCs, halons, carbon tetrachloride, and methyl chloroform. Subsequent amendments in London (1990), Copenhagen (1992), Montreal (1997), and Beijing (1999) strengthened the agreement, introducing stricter phase-out schedules and provisions for financial and technological support to developing nations. The Montreal Protocol's success is often cited as proof that science-based policymaking can effectively address global environmental challenges. Yet, the ozone problem also revealed how industrial development, scientific discovery, and politics are deeply interconnected. The same economic forces that fueled innovation in refrigeration and aerosols also created a global environmental hazard that required an unprecedented level of international unity to solve.

Scientific Mechanisms of Ozone Depletion

The ozone layer is maintained by a delicate balance between chemical creation and destruction. Its natural equilibrium can be described by the Chapman Cycle, where solar ultraviolet radiation (UV-C) splits oxygen molecules (O_2) into atomic oxygen (O), which then reacts with O_2 to form ozone (O_3). However, this balance is disrupted by catalytic cycles involving chlorine (Cl), bromine (Br), and nitrogen oxides (NO_x)—all of which accelerate ozone destruction far beyond natural rates.

1. Catalytic destruction by halogens

Chlorine and bromine are the most effective ozone-destroying agents. They originate from chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), and halons, which, due to their chemical stability, can persist in the atmosphere for up to a century. When these molecules reach the stratosphere, they are photolyzed by UV radiation:

$$CCl_3F + hv \rightarrow CCl_2$$

The released chlorine atoms participate in catalytic cycles that continuously destroy ozone molecules:

$$Cl + O_3 \rightarrow ClO + O_2$$

$$ClO + O \rightarrow Cl + O_2$$

Net reaction:

$$O_3 + O \rightarrow 2O_2$$

This means that a single chlorine atom can destroy up to 100,000 ozone molecules before being deactivated or removed from the stratosphere. Bromine, though less abundant, is even more potent—roughly 45 times more efficient at destroying ozone.

2. Role of Polar Stratospheric Clouds (PSCs)

A critical factor in the formation of the Antarctic ozone hole is the presence of polar stratospheric clouds. These clouds form during the extremely cold polar winters when temperatures in the lower stratosphere drop below −78°C. PSCs provide surfaces on which inactive chlorine compounds (e.g., $ClONO_2$ and HCl) are converted into active chlorine gases (Cl_2). When sunlight returns in spring, these compounds photolyze, releasing chlorine atoms that rapidly deplete ozone. This process explains the pronounced seasonal depletion over Antarctica, where stable polar vortices isolate air masses and maintain low temperatures. In contrast, the Arctic stratosphere is warmer and more dynamically unstable, leading to smaller and more variable ozone losses.

3. Interaction with climate change

Climate change introduces additional complexity to ozone recovery. The greenhouse gases that warm the troposphere can cool the stratosphere, enhancing PSC formation and potentially delaying recovery in polar regions. On the other hand, the decline of ozone-depleting substances under the Montreal Protocol contributes to reduced radiative forcing, showing an interconnected relationship between ozone and climate systems. Recent research (WMO, 2023) also highlights that increased stratospheric water vapor—from both anthropogenic sources and volcanic eruptions—can modify ozone chemistry. The 2022 Hunga Tonga–Hunga Haʻapai eruption injected unprecedented amounts of water vapor into the stratosphere, slightly altering local ozone concentrations. While temporary, such events reveal how natural processes can interact with long-term recovery trends.

Empirical Data and Global Trends (2000–2025)

To better understand the evolution of the ozone layer, it is essential to analyze satellite observations, ground-based measurements, and model projections. Data from NASA's Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), NOAA's SBUV/2, and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) provide a clear picture of both global and regional patterns.

1. Global total ozone trends

Over the past 25 years, the global average total ozone has shown a gradual increase of approximately 2–3% per decade, indicating that the ozone layer is indeed recovering.

However, regional variability remains substantial, especially between the Antarctic, Arctic, and mid-latitudes.

Region	Average Ozone (DU) 2000–2005	2010–2015	2020–2024	Trend (%)
Global Mean	295 DU	303 DU	309 DU	+2.5%
Antarctic (Spring Avg.)	175 DU	200 DU	220 DU	+3.8%
Arctic (Spring Avg.)	330 DU	340 DU	347 DU	+1.9%
Mid-latitudes (NH)	310 DU	317 DU	324 DU	+2.3%
Mid-latitudes (SH)	305 DU	312 DU	320 DU	+2.4%

Source: Compiled from NASA/NOAA Ozone Watch, WMO Assessment 2023.

These figures demonstrate a slow but consistent upward trend, confirming that the Montreal Protocol is effectively reducing the atmospheric burden of ozone-depleting substances. Nevertheless, full recovery to pre-1980 levels is not expected before 2060–2070, depending on region and atmospheric dynamics.

2. Variability in the Antarctic ozone hole

The Antarctic ozone hole remains the most visible indicator of atmospheric recovery. Satellite data show significant interannual variability driven by temperature fluctuations, the strength of the polar vortex, and volcanic or dynamic events. For instance:

- In 2011, the ozone hole reached 26 million km², one of the largest recorded.
- In 2021, the size dropped to 20 million km², while in 2024, it stabilized around 22 million km², indicating gradual improvement.

While this recovery is promising, occasional anomalies remind scientists that the system remains sensitive to both natural and anthropogenic perturbations.

3. Emerging concerns: Unregulated substances

Recent measurements have detected increasing emissions of short-lived halogenated compounds—particularly dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2) and chloroform ($CHCl_3$)—which are not covered by the Montreal Protocol. Although these compounds have shorter lifetimes, their growing industrial use, especially in Asia, could temporarily offset some of the recovery gains.

Conclusion and Discussion

The problem of the ozone layer serves as one of the most illustrative examples of how human activities can alter the planet's delicate atmospheric balance—and how global cooperation, guided by science, can reverse such damage.

The ozone layer depletion crisis emerged in the late twentieth century as a result of rapid industrial development and unregulated use of halogenated chemicals. What began as a seemingly harmless technological innovation—CFC-based refrigeration and aerosols—became a planetary-scale environmental challenge threatening the very foundation of life on Earth.

The scientific community responded with unprecedented speed and unity. The discovery of ozone-depleting reactions catalyzed by chlorine and bromine led to decades of atmospheric research, the creation of international monitoring programs, and the formation of the Montreal Protocol, which became the cornerstone of global environmental governance. Thanks to its implementation, emissions of ozone-depleting substances have decreased by over 98%, and measurable recovery of the ozone layer has been observed in most regions.

However, as this paper demonstrates, the process of recovery remains complex and uneven.

The Antarctic ozone hole, though shrinking, continues to form annually; short-lived halogenated substances pose new risks; and climate change interactions add uncertainty to model projections. The synergy between the ozone layer and the global climate system cannot be ignored—warming of the troposphere and cooling of the stratosphere may delay full restoration, particularly in polar regions.

It is also essential to highlight the social and economic implications. The restoration of the ozone layer is not merely a scientific milestone but also a public health triumph, preventing millions of potential cancer cases and preserving agricultural productivity. At the same time, the transition to new industrial compounds, such as HFCs and HFOs, demonstrates the need for continuous innovation and regulation to prevent the recurrence of environmental side effects.

The international community must therefore maintain its commitment to:

- Continuous monitoring of atmospheric composition through satellite and ground-based instruments;
- Strict enforcement of the Montreal Protocol and its Kigali Amendment;
- Investment in research on the interactions between ozone, aerosols, and climate;
- Public education to ensure that the lessons of the ozone crisis are not forgotten.

Ultimately, the story of the ozone layer represents hope. It shows that when science and policy act together, global environmental problems can be solved. The same cooperative spirit will be essential in tackling future challenges such as climate change, air pollution, and biodiversity loss.

Just as weather derivatives can mitigate agricultural risks by creating economic resilience, the Montreal Protocol mitigated atmospheric risks by creating planetary resilience—a protective financial, scientific, and moral framework for the Earth's atmosphere.

If maintained, this framework can ensure that future generations will inherit a stable stratosphere, free from the shadow of depletion.

The ozone layer, once endangered, is now a testament to humanity's capacity to repair what it has damaged—provided it continues to act with foresight, discipline, and global unity.

List of References

1. World Meteorological Organization (WMO). Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2022. Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project—Report No. 59, Geneva, 2023.
2. UNEP. Environmental Effects Assessment Panel Report: Ozone Depletion and its Consequences, 2023.
3. NASA Ozone Watch. Ozone Data and Trends (2000–2024). https://ozonewatch.gsfc.nasa.gov
4. NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory. Stratospheric Ozone and Halocarbon Trends, Annual Summary 2024.
5. Crutzen, P. J. (1970). The influence of nitrogen oxides on the atmospheric ozone content. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 96(408), 320–325.
6. Molina, M. J., & Rowland, F. S. (1974). Stratospheric sink for chlorofluoromethanes: Chlorine atom-catalyzed destruction of ozone. Nature, 249, 810–812.
7. Solomon, S. (1999). Stratospheric ozone depletion: A review of concepts and history. Reviews of Geophysics, 37(3), 275–316.
8. Farman, J. C., Gardiner, B. G., & Shanklin, J. D. (1985). Large losses of total ozone in Antarctica reveal seasonal ClO/NO_2 interaction. Nature, 315, 207–210.
9. Newman, P. A. et al. (2023). Antarctic ozone recovery and the Montreal Protocol. Nature Geoscience, 16(5), 422–430.
10. Weatherhead, E. C., & Andersen, S. B. (2006). The search for signs of recovery of the ozone layer. Nature, 441(7089), 39–45.
11. Daniel, J. S., & Velders, G. J. M. (2011). A focus on information and policy: Lessons from the Montreal Protocol. Climatic Change, 106(4), 509–522.
12. Montzka, S. A., Dutton, G. S., Yu, P. et al. (2018). An unexpected and persistent increase in global emissions of ozone-depleting CFC-11. Nature, 557, 413–417.
13. World Bank. Protecting the Ozone Layer and the Climate: The Montreal Protocol's Achievements and Future Challenges, 2022.
14. Fahey, D. W., et al. (2023). Twenty Questions and Answers About the Ozone Layer: 2022 Update. WMO/UNEP, Geneva.
15. Seinfeld, J. H., & Pandis, S. N. (2016). Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change. John Wiley & Sons.

16. Solomon, S., Ivy, D. J., Kinnison, D., Mills, M. J., Neely, R. R., Schmidt, A. (2016). Emergence of healing in the Antarctic ozone layer. Science, 353(6296), 269–274.

17. Tegtmeier, S., et al. (2020). Unregulated very short-lived substances: A growing threat to the ozone layer. Atmospheric Environment, 233, 117536.

18. Velders, G. J. M., Daniel, J. S., Montzka, S. A. (2022). The Montreal Protocol and the Kigali Amendment: A new chapter in climate protection. Environmental Science & Policy, 136, 1–12.

19. NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies. The Ozone Hole: 40 Years of Progress. GISS Research Summary, 2024.

20. Hegglin, M. I., & Shepherd, T. G. (2009). Large climate-induced changes in UV index and stratospheric ozone. Nature Geoscience, 2, 687–691.