IMPACT OF FOREIGN INVESTMENTS ON THE ECONOMY OF UZBEKISTAN: A CROSS-SECTORAL ANALYSIS

Ismirakhon Kamolova Jur’atxo’ja kizi
Master’s student of Tashkent State University of Economics
E-mail: ismira1104@mail.ru

Annotation. *Since the launch of broad economic reforms in 2016, Uzbekistan has experienced a rapid expansion in foreign direct investment (FDI), driven by liberalization policies, sectoral diversification, and integration into global markets. FDI inflows rose from USD 1.8 billion in 2016 to USD 7.2 billion in 2023, with projections indicating continued double-digit growth through 2025. This article examines how foreign investments have reshaped Uzbekistan’s economy across key sectors—manufacturing, energy, ICT, and services—and evaluates their contribution to output growth, productivity gains, and export diversification. Using regional data and sectoral regressions, the study finds that high-quality, technology-intensive FDI has strengthened industrial output, expanded employment opportunities, and improved export competitiveness. However, sectoral imbalances and limited local linkages remain challenges. The paper concludes with policy recommendations to deepen the developmental impact of foreign investments through inclusive and innovation-oriented strategies.*

Keywords: *Foreign direct investment, Uzbekistan, manufacturing, export diversification, sectoral analysis, economic growth, and renewable energy.*

Introduction

Uzbekistan’s economic transformation since 2016 represents one of the most ambitious reform waves in the post-Soviet space. Following currency liberalization, tax reforms, and trade facilitation, the country opened its economy to foreign investors. Annual FDI inflows now exceed 4.5 percent of GDP, surpassing regional averages within the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS). Unlike the resource-dominated investment patterns of earlier decades, current inflows increasingly target value-added sectors such as manufacturing, ICT, and renewable energy.

This paper investigates how these changing FDI patterns affect Uzbekistan’s overall economic performance. It asks three interrelated questions:

Which sectors have attracted the most foreign investment since liberalization?

How has FDI influenced productivity, employment, and exports?

What policy mechanisms can maximize cross-sectoral spillovers and economic sustainability?

Literature Review

Although the strength and direction of the impacts vary depending on the environment, empirical research consistently connects FDI to capital accumulation and productivity development. Alfaro (2017) demonstrates that manufacturing-oriented FDI produces stronger growth multipliers than extractive industries, while Borensztein et al. (1998) highlight the significance of human capital in mediating FDI gains. Kheyfets and Chetverikova (2019) discover that poor ties with domestic suppliers restrict the spillover effects of FDI concentration in hydrocarbons in the Central Asian environment. The complete macroeconomic impact of cross-sectoral FDI is still not fully understood, despite recent studies (IMF, 2025; World Bank, 2024) suggesting that Uzbekistan's structural move toward manufacturing and renewable energy has enhanced its growth quality. This study makes a contribution by measuring these impacts and finding trends across industries that are pertinent to policy.

Data and Methodology

Although the strength and direction of the benefits differ depending on the situation, empirical research consistently connects FDI to capital accumulation and productivity development. Alfaro (2017) demonstrates that manufacturing-oriented FDI produces stronger growth multipliers than extractive industries, while Borensztein et al. (1998) highlight the importance of human capital in mediating FDI gains. Kheyfets and Chetverikova (2019) discover that poor ties with domestic suppliers restrict the spillover effects of FDI focused on hydrocarbons in the Central Asian environment. The complete macroeconomic impact of cross-sectoral FDI is still not fully understood, despite recent studies (IMF, 2025; World Bank, 2024) indicating that Uzbekistan's structural move toward manufacturing and renewable energy has enhanced its growth quality. This work makes a contribution by measuring these impacts and finding trends across industries that are pertinent to policy.

Quantitative component. Sectoral FDI data from the Central Bank of Uzbekistan and UNCTADstat.

GDP and productivity data from the State Statistics Agency.

Export diversification indices from the World Bank’s WITS database.

A fixed-effects panel regression is estimated for 14 regions, modeling the relationship between FDI inflows (as % of regional GDP) and key outcomes—industrial output, employment rate, and export value-added—while controlling for infrastructure investment, remittance dependence, and human-capital indicators.

Qualitative component. Case studies from three industrial clusters—Navoi Free Economic Zone (FEZ), Tashkent ICT Park, and the Surkhandarya solar energy hub—are used to examine technology transfer and supply-chain integration.

FDI Trends in Uzbekistan, 2015-2024

Sector	2015 (USD mln) Inflows	2024 Inflows	10-yr CAGR	Share 2024
Hydrocarbons & Mining	1 140	1 050	–0.9 %	15 %
Manufacturing (auto, textiles, pharma)	420	1 980	+16.7 %	29 %
ICT & Digital Services	60	610	+26.8 %	9 %
Energy Transition (solar, wind)	35	1 120	+41.2 %	17 %
Construction & Real Estate	280	990	+12.5 %	14 %
Other Services	370	1 020	+11.3 %	16 %

Sources: CBU Balance-of-Payments Bulletin Q4-2024; UNCTADstat

The data reveal a decisive reorientation of investment flows: the combined share of manufacturing and green energy grew from 22 to 46 percent between 2015 and 2024, reflecting a transition toward high-productivity sectors.

Findings and Discussion

Contribution to GDP and Productivity

Regression results show that a 1-percentage-point rise in FDI/GDP increases regional industrial output by 0.45 percent ($p < 0.01$). Manufacturing and ICT FDI exhibit the strongest productivity elasticities, driven by technology transfer and management spillovers.

Employment and Wage Effects

Manufacturing FDI generates 1.6 times more employment per dollar invested than resource-sector FDI. The establishment of FEZs in Andijan and Jizzakh has lowered local unemployment by up to 2 percentage points between 2018 and 2024.

Export Diversification

FDI-driven sectors now account for over 40 percent of Uzbekistan’s non-commodity exports. ICT exports grew tenfold from 2017 to 2024, while renewable energy equipment

began entering regional markets in 2023. This diversification reduces vulnerability to commodity price fluctuations.

Regional Development and Spillovers

FDI inflows outside Tashkent—especially in Navoi and Surkhandarya—have narrowed inter-regional GDP disparities by fostering new production clusters. However, weaker infrastructure and skill mismatches still limit absorptive capacity in western and southern regions.

Challenges

Limited backward linkages between foreign and domestic firms.

Regulatory uncertainty in land-use and tax regimes.

Uneven enforcement of environmental and labour standards.

Policy Recommendations

Pillar	Concrete Measures	Expected Impact
Balanced Spatial Development	Expand investment incentives to underdeveloped regions (Karakalpakstan, Kashkadarya).	Enhances regional equity and utilization of local resources.
Technology & Skills Development	Co-finance vocational programs and innovation hubs with foreign partners.	Boosts absorptive capacity and productivity growth
Local Supplier Integration	Introduce FDI linkage programs connecting SMEs to multinational supply chains.	Strengthens domestic industry and value retention.
Sustainability Standards	Tie tax incentives to compliance with environmental and labour benchmarks.	Ensures inclusive, responsible growth.
Investment Climate Transparency	Publish annual sectoral FDI performance reports.	Increases investor confidence and public accountability.

Conclusion

Uzbekistan’s FDI-driven transformation demonstrates that foreign capital can serve as a catalyst for structural modernization and inclusive growth—provided that inflows are diversified, technology-intensive, and well-governed. The economy has moved beyond resource dependency, with manufacturing, ICT, and renewable energy emerging as

dynamic growth engines. To sustain momentum, policymakers should strengthen institutional quality, foster local linkages, and align FDI strategies with human-capital development. The Uzbek experience offers valuable lessons for other transition economies seeking to harness foreign investment for sustainable and broad-based economic progress.

References

1. Alfaro, L. (2017). Gains from Foreign Direct Investment: Macro and Micro Approaches. World Bank Economic Review.
2. Borensztein, E., De Gregorio, J., & Lee, J-W. (1998). How Does Foreign Direct Investment Affect Economic Growth? Journal of International Economics.
3. Central Bank of Uzbekistan. (2025). Balance-of-Payments Bulletin Q4-2024.
4. Kheyfets, B. & Chetverikova, A. (2019). FDI in the Eurasian Economic Union: Structure and Development Trends. Journal of Eurasian Studies.
5. IMF. (2025). Uzbekistan: Staff Concluding Statement of the 2025 Article IV Mission.
6. State Department. (2024). Investment Climate Statement: Uzbekistan.
7. UNCTADstat. (2024). FDI Stock and Flow by Sector.
8. World Bank. (2024). World Development Indicators – FDI Net Inflows (% of GDP).