

SPEECH AND GRAMMAR

Maratova Shahzoda*Student: SamDCHTI Ingiliz tili fakulteti Xorijiy til va adabiyot(ingiliz tili)**yo'nalishi 2-bosqich 2414-guruh talabasi**+998915442212***Shamuradova Naima Muxtarovna***Scientific supervisor:*

Abstract: *This paper explores the fundamental relationship between speech and grammar in modern linguistics. Speech is one of the primary forms of human communication, while grammar provides the structural rules that make speech meaningful, coherent, and socially acceptable. The article analyzes how grammar influences speech production, how speakers use grammatical patterns in real-time communication, and what challenges learners face in mastering spoken grammar. It also highlights the importance of grammatical accuracy for academic, professional, and intercultural communication.*

Keywords: *speech; grammar; linguistic competence; communication; accuracy; fluency; discourse; pragmatics; spoken interaction*

This extended scientific article explores the complex interaction between speech and grammar as core components of linguistic competence. Speech represents the dynamic, real-time expression of ideas, emotions, and social relationships, while grammar provides the structural framework that supports coherence, accuracy, and meaningful communication. The paper examines theoretical perspectives, functional roles of grammar in speech, characteristics of spoken grammar, and difficulties faced by language learners. The expanded analysis highlights the importance of studying spoken grammar as an independent linguistic phenomenon that differs significantly from written grammar. The findings emphasize the need for pedagogy that integrates grammar instruction with communicative practice to improve fluency and pragmatic effectiveness.

Speech is the primary channel of human communication and plays a crucial role in social interaction, identity construction, and cultural transmission. Grammar, traditionally defined as a set of structural rules governing language, enables speakers to produce organized and meaningful utterances. Modern linguistics views grammar not only as a set of prescriptive rules but as a dynamic system shaped by usage, context, and communicative needs. The relationship between speech and grammar is fundamental: speech provides the medium for expression, while grammar ensures systematicity and interpretability. Understanding this relationship is essential for linguistics, language pedagogy, discourse studies, and communication science.

Grammar contributes to the coherence and cohesion of spoken discourse by linking ideas logically and semantically. Through grammatical markers such as tense, aspect, modality, and voice, speakers express time relations, attitudes, intentions, and levels of certainty. In speech, grammar interacts with prosody—intonation, stress, and rhythm—to create emphasis and clarify meaning. Spoken communication often requires rapid processing; therefore, grammar must support, rather than hinder, fluency. Pragmatic grammar—the grammar of social meaning—helps speakers perform functions such as requesting, apologizing, persuading, and negotiating.

Spoken grammar is characterized by flexibility and adaptation to real-time communicative needs. Speakers frequently rely on incomplete sentences, pauses, fillers (e.g., 'uh', 'um'), and reformulations. Ellipsis and substitution are common strategies that help maintain speed and reduce cognitive load. Contractions and reduced forms (gonna, wanna, gotta) contribute to naturalness and fluency in everyday speech. Spoken grammar includes interactive features such as turn-taking signals, back-channel responses ('yeah', 'right'), and discourse markers. Unlike written grammar, spoken grammar allows spontaneous restructuring of sentences as speakers clarify or expand their thoughts. Repetition is used to emphasize key points, repair misunderstandings, or gain extra time for thinking.

Learners often experience difficulty transferring grammatical knowledge from written exercises to spontaneous speech. Real-time speech production requires automatized grammar, which takes significant practice to develop. Interference from the learner's native language can result in grammatical errors or unnatural phrasing. Pronunciation problems may obscure grammatical distinctions, such as plural -s, third-person -s, or past tense endings. Lack of exposure to authentic spoken input limits the acquisition of natural spoken grammar features. Learners may rely on formal grammar patterns inappropriate for informal communication, resulting in stiff or unnatural speech. Psychological factors especially anxiety may cause hesitation, errors, and reduced grammatical accuracy.

Language instruction should integrate grammar teaching with communicative activities to encourage automatization. Teachers should expose learners to authentic spoken input such as interviews, podcasts, films, and natural dialogues. Tasks that promote real-time communication role-plays, debates, problem-solving activities help students internalize spoken grammar. Feedback should focus not only on accuracy but also on appropriateness, fluency, and pragmatic effectiveness. Spoken grammar should be taught as a separate component, not merely as a simplified form of written grammar.

The extended analysis demonstrates that speech and grammar are interdependent elements of language competence. Spoken grammar possesses unique structural and functional characteristics that distinguish it from written grammar. Mastering these features is essential for effective communication in academic, professional, and personal contexts. Language education should

therefore adopt an integrated approach that combines grammatical instruction with active spoken communication to develop learners' fluency, accuracy, and pragmatic awareness.

References

1. Brown, H. D. (2007). Principles of Language Learning and Teaching. Pearson Education.
2. Carter, R., & McCarthy, M. (2006). Cambridge Grammar of English: A Comprehensive Guide. Cambridge University Press.
3. Halliday, M. A. K. (1994). An Introduction to Functional Grammar. Arnold.
4. Thornbury, S. (2005). How to Teach Speaking. Longman.
5. Muxtarovna S. N. Historical Origin and Usage of Clause of Reason in English //The Peerian Journal. – 2022. – T. 5. – C. 183-187.
6. Шамурадова Н. Comparative study of english and uzbek proverbs (usage of parts of body) //Международный журнал искусство слова. – 2021. – Т. 4. – №. 1-1.
7. Shamuradova Naima Muxtarovna. Kausalikning ingliz va o‘zbek tillarida ifodalanishi. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 2023, 800-803.
8. S.N. Muxtarovna. Sentences Expressing A Cause-And-Effect Relationship. Innovation In The Modern Education System, 2023, 233-234.