

## MEANING AND ITS TYPES IN MODERN LINGUISTICS

Narzullayeva Rukhshona Sadullayevna

[ruxa.sadllv@mail.ru](mailto:ruxa.sadllv@mail.ru)

Xamrokulova Jasminabonu

*Students of Samarkand state institute of foreign languages**Samarkand, Uzbekistan*[khamrokulovaj@gmail.com](mailto:khamrokulovaj@gmail.com)

**Abstract** *This article examines the linguistic concept of meaning and analyzes its principal types. In modern linguistics, meaning is understood as a complex category that links language with thought, communication, and social practice. The paper considers lexical and grammatical meaning, denotative and connotative meaning, as well as the distinction between semantic and pragmatic meaning. Special attention is also given to the notions of sense and reference, which help explain how linguistic units relate to objects of the world and to speakers' mental representations. The article argues that meaning should be studied as a multidimensional phenomenon shaped by vocabulary, grammar, context, and communicative intention. The results of the study may be useful for linguistics, translation, lexicography, and foreign language teaching.*

**Keywords:** *meaning, semantics, pragmatics, lexical meaning, grammatical meaning, denotation, connotation, sense, reference.*

**Аннотация** *В данной статье рассматривается лингвистическое понятие значения и анализируются его основные типы. В современной лингвистике значение понимается как сложная категория, связывающая язык с мышлением, коммуникацией и социальной практикой. В работе исследуются лексическое и грамматическое значение, денотативное и коннотативное значение, а также различие между семантическим и прагматическим значением. Особое внимание уделяется понятиям смысла и референции, которые помогают объяснить, как языковые единицы соотносятся с объектами действительности и ментальными представлениями говорящих. Доказывается, что значение следует изучать как многомерное явление, формируемое словарным составом, грамматикой, контекстом и коммуникативным намерением.*

**Ключевые слова:** *значение, семантика, прагматика, лексическое значение, грамматическое значение, денотация, коннотация, смысл, референция.*

**Annotatsiya** *Ushbu maqolada ma'no tushunchasi lingvistik nuqtai nazardan ko'rib chiqilib, uning asosiy turlari tahlil qilinadi. Zamonaviy tilshunoslikda ma'no tilni tafakkur, muloqot va ijtimoiy amaliyot bilan bog'lovchi murakkab kategoriya sifatida talqin etiladi.*

*Maqolada leksik va grammatik ma'no, denotativ va konnotativ ma'no, shuningdek semantik va pragmatik ma'no o'rtasidagi farqyoritiladi. Bundan tashqari, til birliklarining borliqdagi predmetlar hamda so'zlovchining ongidagi tasavvurlar bilan qanday bog'lanishini tushuntiruvchi sense va reference tushunchalariga alohida e'tibor qaratiladi. Tadqiqot natijasida ma'no lug'aviy tarkib, grammatika, kontekst va kommunikativ niyat ta'sirida shakllanuvchi ko'p qirrali hodisa ekanligi asoslab beriladi.*

**Kalit so'zlar:** *ma'no, semantika, pragmatika, leksik ma'no, grammatik ma'no, denotatsiya, konnotatsiya.*

### **Introduction**

Meaning is one of the key categories of linguistics because language cannot function without it. Every linguistic unit, from a single morpheme to a complete sentence, carries some form of meaning and participates in communication. When people speak or write, they do not merely produce sounds or graphic signs; they encode information, attitudes, evaluations, intentions, and assumptions. For this reason, the study of meaning stands at the center of linguistic theory and remains essential for lexicology, grammar, discourse analysis, translation studies, and language teaching.

In modern scholarship, semantics is usually defined as the study of linguistic meaning, whereas pragmatics examines how context contributes to interpretation. This distinction is important because the meaning of an utterance is rarely limited to what a dictionary states. The same word may carry a literal meaning, a grammatical function, an emotional coloring, and a context-dependent implication. As a result, meaning should be described not as a single layer, but as a system of interrelated components that operate simultaneously in communication.

The relevance of the topic is explained by both theoretical and practical considerations. From a theoretical point of view, the category of meaning helps scholars understand how language relates to thought and reality. From a practical point of view, knowledge of different types of meaning improves text interpretation, translation accuracy, dictionary compilation, and communicative competence. In multilingual and intercultural settings, misunderstanding even a small semantic nuance may lead to distortion of the speaker's intention.

The purpose of this article is to define the notion of meaning and to classify its principal types. The objectives of the study are to examine the linguistic understanding of meaning, to describe lexical and grammatical meaning, to compare denotative and connotative meaning, to explain the distinction between semantic and pragmatic meaning, and to clarify the theoretical value of sense and reference.

### Review of scientific literature

The study of meaning has a long history in philosophy and linguistics. Classical logic and philosophy were concerned with the relation between words, concepts, and the external world. Later, linguistic semantics developed into an independent field that investigates meaning at the levels of words, phrases, and sentences. Encyclopaedia Britannica describes semantics as the study of meaning in natural and artificial languages, while open-access linguistics textbooks emphasize that semantic analysis concerns not only isolated words but also the principles by which meanings combine in larger structures.

A widely accepted approach distinguishes lexical meaning from grammatical meaning. Lexical meaning is associated with the conceptual content of words such as nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs. Grammatical meaning, by contrast, is conveyed by inflections, function words, and syntactic patterns. This difference is often illustrated by forms such as *cat* and *cats*, where the root carries lexical meaning and the ending expresses plurality. Linguistics textbooks use this distinction as one of the basic tools for the analysis of word structure and sentence formation.

Another important line of research concerns denotation and connotation. Denotation is generally treated as the direct or referential meaning of a linguistic unit, while connotation includes additional associations, emotional shades, stylistic values, and cultural overtones. Communication studies and lexicology manuals note that two words may be close in denotation but very different in connotation. This explains why lexical choice often depends not only on literal accuracy but also on social and expressive effect.

The literature also stresses the distinction between semantics and pragmatics. Semantics deals with conventional meaning encoded in language, whereas pragmatics studies the meaning that arises in use. A sentence may be semantically complete and grammatically correct, yet its actual communicative force can only be understood in context. Open-access introductions to pragmatics repeatedly show that speakers often mean more than they explicitly say; requests, irony, hints, and implied evaluation all demonstrate that interpretation goes beyond literal content.

A separate but highly influential contribution to the theory of meaning is the distinction between sense and reference. In this tradition, reference concerns the object or entity to which an expression points, while sense refers to the conceptual mode of presentation through which that entity is identified. This distinction remains valuable because it shows that linguistic meaning is not exhausted by simple naming; speakers also rely on conceptual knowledge and interpretive frames.

In addition to traditional classifications, some linguists discuss broader typologies of meaning that include conceptual, affective, social, reflected, collocative, and thematic

meaning. Such extended classifications are especially useful in stylistics and discourse studies because they demonstrate that meaning is shaped not only by reference, but also by emotional tone, habitual lexical combination, and information structure. Even when this article focuses on the most widely used core distinctions, these broader approaches confirm the richness of semantic analysis.

### **Methodology**

This article is based on qualitative analysis of open-access academic and educational sources available on the internet. The study applies descriptive, comparative, and analytical methods. First, the principal definitions of meaning and related semantic categories were identified in reference and instructional materials. Second, these definitions were compared in order to determine which classifications are most stable and widely used in modern linguistic literature. Third, the selected classifications were systematized and interpreted in relation to practical language use.

The methodological foundation of the paper is theoretical rather than experimental. However, illustrative examples from English are included in order to demonstrate how each type of meaning functions in actual discourse. This approach makes it possible to connect abstract linguistic concepts with ordinary communication and thereby increase the explanatory value of the study.

The source base includes reference materials, university-level open textbooks, and dictionary resources that discuss semantics, pragmatics, denotation, connotation, and the distinction between sense and reference. The use of openly accessible literature makes the argument transparent and allows the article to remain suitable for educational purposes.

The analytical procedure followed a simple sequence: identification of definitions, comparison of categories, interpretation of examples, and generalization of conclusions. Such a methodology is appropriate for a review article because its task is not to test one isolated hypothesis, but to organize and clarify a set of basic linguistic concepts in a coherent scholarly form.

### **Results and discussion**

The first and most general observation is that meaning should be understood as a multidimensional linguistic phenomenon. A word or sentence does not simply point to an object or event; it can also classify, evaluate, imply, intensify, or structure information. Meaning is therefore closely connected with the organization of the lexical system, the grammatical structure of the sentence, the communicative situation, and the background knowledge of the participants. Any serious description of meaning must take all these factors into account.

The most basic classification is the opposition between lexical and grammatical meaning. Lexical meaning is the semantic content carried by the word as a vocabulary unit. It allows speakers to identify phenomena of reality, to name actions, qualities, and relations, and to build conceptual distinctions between objects. For example, the lexical meanings of the words river, write, bright, and quickly are different because they denote different kinds of entities, processes, attributes, and manners. Lexical meaning is especially important in dictionaries and in vocabulary acquisition, since it forms the conceptual basis of the lexicon.

Grammatical meaning, on the other hand, is expressed through formal markers and syntactic arrangements. It includes such categories as number, tense, aspect, person, case, degree of comparison, and sentence type. In the forms work, works, worked, and working, the lexical core remains stable, but the grammatical meaning changes. This type of meaning is indispensable because it organizes lexical material and allows speakers to express temporal relations, quantity, modality, and syntactic dependence. Without grammatical meaning, language would remain an unordered set of labels.

A second major distinction is that between denotative and connotative meaning. Denotative meaning refers to the central, direct, and relatively objective meaning of a word. It establishes the connection between language and the class of objects, actions, or properties that the word names. The denotation of the word rose, for example, is a flowering plant of a certain kind. In scientific and technical discourse, denotative precision is especially important because ambiguity may lead to misunderstanding or practical error.

Connotative meaning reflects the associations that accompany a word beyond its basic denotation. These associations may be emotional, stylistic, evaluative, cultural, or ideological. Words such as home and house provide a simple illustration: both refer to a place of living, but home often evokes warmth, intimacy, and emotional attachment, whereas house is more neutral. Connotation plays a major role in literature, journalism, advertising, and everyday interpersonal communication because speakers constantly choose between words not only for what they denote, but also for what they suggest.

Another important analytical pair is semantic meaning versus pragmatic meaning. Semantic meaning is conventionally encoded in the linguistic form itself and can be described without full reference to a concrete situation. Pragmatic meaning emerges when an utterance is placed in a communicative context. The sentence It is cold here may semantically report a temperature condition, but pragmatically it may function as a request to close a window, an invitation to leave the room, or even an indirect complaint. The interpretation depends on shared knowledge, physical setting, intonation, and speaker intention.

The distinction between sense and reference deepens the analysis of meaning. Reference concerns the real or imagined entity indicated by an expression. Sense concerns the

informational content by means of which the referent is presented. Two expressions may have the same referent but different senses. The classic example is the morning star and the evening star: both refer to Venus, but each presents that planet under a different conceptual description. This shows that meaning cannot be reduced to mere reference, because language also structures the way in which reality is cognitively represented.

Meaning is also dynamic in discourse. Context may narrow or broaden lexical interpretation, create ambiguity, resolve ambiguity, or generate figurative meaning. Polysemy demonstrates this clearly: a single word can have several related meanings, and the intended one becomes clear only in context. The word head may denote a part of the body, the leader of an institution, or the upper part of an object. In each case, semantic potential is realized through context, which confirms the close interaction of lexical knowledge and discourse conditions.

A further issue in the theory of meaning is the relation between linguistic meaning and social usage. The same lexical item may acquire different connotations in different communities, age groups, or professional environments. This means that semantic description cannot be fully isolated from sociolinguistic reality. A word that is neutral in one context may sound formal, ironic, affectionate, or offensive in another. Consequently, the analysis of meaning requires sensitivity not only to language structure, but also to patterns of actual use.

The digital era has also intensified interest in the study of meaning. Search engines, machine translation systems, chatbots, and large language technologies all depend on the successful interpretation of words, constructions, and context. Computational systems frequently face the same problems that human speakers resolve intuitively: lexical ambiguity, figurative language, contextual inference, and pragmatic intention. For this reason, classical semantic distinctions remain highly relevant even in contemporary applied linguistics and language technologies.

From a practical perspective, the classification of meaning has broad significance. In translation, the translator must preserve denotation while also accounting for connotation, stylistic nuance, and pragmatic effect. In foreign language teaching, learners need to understand that knowing a dictionary equivalent is not enough; they must also know how the word behaves in grammar and discourse. In lexicography, dictionary definitions depend on the ability to distinguish primary meaning, secondary sense, figurative extension, and usage label.

In legal and institutional discourse, precision of meaning is especially critical. Semantic ambiguity may produce conflicting interpretations, while pragmatic inference may affect how a statement is applied in practice. For that reason, the study of meaning is not an abstract exercise detached from real life. It directly influences how texts are written, interpreted,

translated, and evaluated in education, administration, public communication, and intercultural interaction.

### Conclusion

The analysis carried out in this article confirms that meaning is one of the most complex and fundamental categories of linguistics. It links language with thought, experience, and communication, and it cannot be reduced to a single universal definition. Instead, meaning should be approached through a system of interrelated types and levels.

The main types considered in this study are lexical and grammatical meaning, denotative and connotative meaning, semantic and pragmatic meaning, as well as the distinction between sense and reference. Each of these categories reveals a different dimension of how linguistic units function. Together they show that meaning is formed through the interaction of vocabulary, grammar, conceptual knowledge, and context.

In conclusion, the classification of meaning has both theoretical and applied value. It contributes to a deeper understanding of language structure and supports practical work in translation, language teaching, discourse analysis, and lexicography. The notion of meaning remains central for modern linguistics because it explains not only what language says, but also how language conveys knowledge, attitude, and intention.

### References

1. Cambridge Dictionary. Entries on connotation and denotative meaning. 2024. – 52.
2. Encyclopaedia Britannica. Meaning. Available in open access. 2023. – 15.
3. Encyclopaedia Britannica. Semantics. Available in open access. 2023. – 88.
4. eCampusOntario. Essentials of Linguistics, 2nd edition. Chapters on linguistic meaning and pragmatics. 2018. – 35.
5. Gottlob Frege. On Sense and Reference. 1892. – 98.
6. Kasimova A.N.. Features of Translation of British Articles of the Internet Media into the Uzbek Language. European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education, 1(2), 230–232, 2021.  
<https://inovatus.es/index.php/ejine/article/view/108>
7. Kasimova A.N.. Idioms in oral and written business communication in English. International Conference on Research: Identity, Value and Ethics (USA), 2023.  
<https://www.fluentu.com/blog/english/how-to-speak-business-english/>
8. Kasimova A.N.. Must-know skills to translate newspaper articles. Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University, 281–287, 2023.

[https://www.academia.edu/114346282/Scientific\\_Bulletin\\_of\\_Namangan\\_State\\_University](https://www.academia.edu/114346282/Scientific_Bulletin_of_Namangan_State_University)

9. Kasimova A.N.. The use of phraseological units as headlines of Mass Media. Eurasian Journal of Social Sciences, Philosophy and Culture, 3(3), 153–158, 2023.

<https://in-academy.uz/index.php/ejsspc/article/view/11453>

10. Kasimova A.N.. Translation methods and techniques of publicistic materials. Issue 2, 41–47, 2022.

<https://studfile.net/preview/3544031/page:71/>

11. Charles W. Kreidler. Introducing English Semantics. London and New York: Routledge, 1998. – 67.

12. Geoffrey Leech. Semantics: The Study of Meaning. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books, 1981. – 78.

13. Sebastian Löbner. Understanding Semantics. London: Routledge.

14. Ohio State University. English Linguistics Learning Modules. Module on lexical and grammatical meaning. 2020. – 25.