

TRANSLATING SOCIAL MEDIA SLANG: A NEW CHALLENGE FOR
MODERN TRANSLATORS

Tursunova Gulnavoz

Alisher Navoiy nomidagi O'zbek tili va adabiyoti universiteti

Department: Translation Studies November 2025

Abstract: *Social media platforms have fundamentally altered the nature of language use, particularly through the rapid emergence and evolution of slang. This article examines the unique difficulties faced by modern translators when working with slang originating from platforms such as TikTok, Instagram, X (formerly Twitter), and regional applications in non-English-speaking regions. Drawing on an original analysis of over 30,000 user-generated posts from Central Asia (Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, Tajikistan) and Southeast Asia (Indonesia), collected between January 2024 and November 2025, the study reveals that conventional translation methods fail to capture the contextual, cultural, and temporal dimensions of digital slang. Key challenges include script variation, multimodal expression, cultural specificity, and extreme ephemerality. The analysis highlights previously undocumented patterns, such as the widespread use of hybrid orthographic systems and the integration of audio-visual elements into meaning-making. The article concludes with practical recommendations for translator training programs, emphasizing the need for continuous exposure to live digital discourse, community collaboration, and adaptive strategies that prioritize cultural equivalence over literal accuracy.*

Keywords: *social media slang, digital translation, cultural equivalence, script variation, multimodal communication, translator training, code-mixing, ephemerality, transcreation, platform literacy, digital ethnography*

Introduction

The rise of social media has created a new linguistic environment characterized by speed, creativity, and global interconnectedness. Unlike traditional forms of language, which evolve gradually within established speech communities, social media slang emerges spontaneously, spreads virally, and often disappears within days. Terms such as “vayner” in Uzbek digital spaces, “gabutmoxxing” in Indonesian youth culture, or “skibidi” in global TikTok trends illustrate how meaning is constructed not just through words, but through context, tone, platform affordances, and shared cultural knowledge...

For translators, this presents a profound challenge. Most professional training and machine translation systems are built on stable, formal, or literary language. They are ill-equipped to handle the informality, ambiguity, and rapid obsolescence of digital slang. A translator attempting to render an Uzbek TikTok caption like “katta vibe bilan oq yo‘l” into English may produce “big vibe with white road,” missing both the motivational intent and the ironic reference to Soviet-era infrastructure. Such failures are not merely technical—

they reflect a deeper mismatch between traditional translation theory and the realities of digital communication.

This article addresses this gap by focusing on slang from underrepresented linguistic regions. While much existing research centers on English-dominant platforms, this study examines non-Latin script environments where users frequently alternate between Cyrillic, Latin, and emoji systems within a single message. Through systematic observation of authentic user content, it identifies core obstacles and proposes actionable solutions for contemporary translation practice.

Literature Review

Early studies of internet language focused on text-based communication in forums and email. Scholars noted the playful use of abbreviations, emoticons, and orthographic variation as markers of identity and informality. However, these works predate the dominance of short-form video and algorithm-driven content distribution.

More recent research has explored youth language on platforms like Twitter and Instagram, documenting how slang functions as a tool for group membership and cultural expression. Yet, the majority of this work remains centered on English-speaking contexts or major global languages. Little attention has been given to how slang operates in multilingual, post-colonial, or script-transitioning environments—such as Uzbekistan, where official policy encourages a shift from Cyrillic to Latin script, resulting in widespread code-mixing in everyday digital writing.

Machine translation systems have made significant advances in handling formal and technical texts, but their performance drops sharply with informal, context-dependent language. Current models rely on large, static corpora that cannot keep pace with the speed of slang innovation. Moreover, they struggle with multimodal content—where meaning emerges from the combination of text, sound, image, and movement—common in modern social media posts.

This study builds on and extends prior work by shifting the focus to underrepresented regions and real-time digital environments. It treats slang not as a marginal phenomenon, but as a central feature of contemporary global communication requiring new theoretical and practical approaches in translation studies.

Methodology

The research is based on a qualitative and quantitative analysis of 30,000 original social media posts collected ethically from public content between January 2024 and November 2025. Data was gathered from four regions:

- Uzbekistan (TikTok, Instagram): 10,000 posts
- Kazakhstan (Instagram, X): 9,000 posts
- Indonesia (X, TikTok): 8,000 posts
- Tajikistan (X): 3,000 posts

Selection criteria included high engagement (minimum 50 interactions), recency (within 7 days of posting), and presence of apparent slang or non-standard language. Posts were anonymized to protect user privacy.

A team of seven native-speaker researchers—trained in linguistics and translation—analyzed the content through close reading and thematic coding. They identified recurring patterns in slang formation, usage, and decay. Translation attempts were conducted using leading commercial systems and compared against human-rendered versions by bilingual experts. The evaluation focused on adequacy (preservation of meaning and tone) rather than formal equivalence.

Key Findings

1. Script Hybridity as a Core Feature

Users frequently combine multiple writing systems in a single expression. In Uzbek content, it is common to see Latin “vibe” alongside Cyrillic “кул” (laugh) and emojis. This is not random—it serves stylistic, humorous, or identity-marking purposes. Standard translation tools normalize these into one script, erasing intended effects.

2. Multimodal Meaning Construction

Over two-thirds of analyzed posts included non-textual elements—voice filters, background music, AR effects, or geofenced visuals—that contributed significantly to meaning. A phrase like “gabut sampe pagi” (bored until morning) gains intensity when paired with a sad filter and slow-motion effect. Text-only translation cannot convey this layered experience.

3. Cultural Specificity and Local References

Much slang draws on shared cultural knowledge unavailable to outsiders. References to Soviet-era sayings, local memes, or regional pop culture create barriers to comprehension. Even when individual words are known, the connotative load is lost without deep contextual awareness.

4. Extreme Ephemerality

Analysis of hashtag and phrase frequency over time showed that most slang terms peak within 3–5 days and decline sharply thereafter. Some persist longer in niche communities, but the majority have a lifespan shorter than a week. This rapidity renders dictionary-based approaches obsolete.

5. Translation Performance Gap

When the same posts were processed through automated systems, fewer than 30% produced acceptable results in terms of tone, intent, and cultural appropriateness. Human translators achieved over 85% adequacy, but only with extensive platform familiarity and real-time research.

Discussion

The findings reveal that social media slang operates within a dynamic semiotic system where meaning is distributed across text, image, sound, and interaction. Traditional translation, which privileges linguistic equivalence, is fundamentally mismatched to this

environment. Successful rendering requires cultural immersion, platform literacy, and adaptive decision-making.

Moreover, the prevalence of script mixing and multimodal expression suggests that translation must move beyond the written word. Future practice may involve transcreation—recreating the overall effect in the target context—rather than direct transfer. This aligns with functionalist approaches in translation theory, which prioritize purpose and audience response.

The rapid turnover of slang also challenges the notion of the translator as a solitary expert. Instead, it points toward collaborative models involving native-speaker communities, real-time glossaries, and continuous professional development.

Case Studies

"Uzbek TikTok Caption:"

“Katta vibe bilan oq yo‘l, do‘stlar! 🚀😄”

Literal MT: “Big vibe with white road, friends!”

Human Translation: “Wishing you epic energy and smooth sailing, fam!”

Analysis: The original uses irony and warmth; MT fails completely.

"Indonesian X Thread:"

“Gabutmaxxing level 1000, send help 😭”

Literal MT: “Boredom maxx level 1000, send help”

Human Translation: “Terminally bored, someone save me from this void”

Analysis: “Maxxing” (from internet fitness culture) intensifies “gabut” (boredom); requires subcultural knowledge.

"Kazakh Instagram Reel:"

“Zhalpy mood, bratan 🧠”

Literal MT: “Lonely mood, brother”

Human Translation: “In my feels, bro—send hugs”

Analysis: Skull emoji signals dark humor; tone must be preserved.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Translating social media slang is not merely a technical task—it is an act of cultural mediation in a fast-moving digital world. The challenges identified in this study—script hybridity, multimodality, cultural depth, and ephemerality—demand a reorientation of translator training and practice.

"Recommendations for University Programs:"

- Integrate live platform monitoring into coursework
- Require digital ethnography projects with real communities
- Teach transcreation alongside traditional methods
- Develop collaborative translation labs with native speakers
- Emphasize continuous learning over fixed competencies

By adopting these approaches, translators can evolve from language technicians into cultural navigators capable of bridging digital divides with authenticity and respect.

References

1. Crystal, D. (2019). *Internet linguistics: A student guide*. Routledge.
2. Danet, B., & Herring, S. C. (Eds.). (2007). *The multilingual Internet*. Oxford University Press.
3. Herring, S. C. (1996). *Computer-mediated communication*. John Benjamins.
4. Tagliamonte, S. A., & Denis, D. (2008). Linguistic ruin? LOL! *American Speech*, 83(1), 3–34.
5. Koehn, P. (2020). *Neural machine translation*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Zhou, X. (2022). Chinese students' translanguaging on WeChat. *English Today*, 38(4), 210–220.
7. Smith, R., & Lee, H. (2025). Code-switching and slang in Generation Z. ResearchGate.
8. Alimova, D. (2025). *Digital language practices in post-Soviet Central Asia*. Tashkent University Press.