



PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE AFFECTIVE FILTER HYPOTHESIS IN  
FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNING

**Jahongirova Gulhayo Jahongirovna**

*Student of Navoi State University*

*Email: [jahongirovagulhayo1@gmail.com](mailto:jahongirovagulhayo1@gmail.com)*

*Tel: +998 99 133 71 42*

*Orcid ID: 0009-0006-9914-9260*

**Abstract;** *This study explores the practical application of Stephen Krashen's Affective Filter Hypothesis in foreign language learning classrooms. The hypothesis emphasizes the crucial role of emotional factors—such as motivation, self-confidence, and anxiety—in second language acquisition (SLA). High anxiety and low self-esteem are believed to raise the affective filter, preventing comprehensible input from being effectively processed, whereas positive emotional conditions facilitate acquisition. This research employed a mixed-methods approach, combining a review of relevant literature with a quasi-experimental classroom intervention. Two intermediate English classes participated in the study: one experimental group exposed to affective filter-lowering strategies and one control group receiving traditional instruction. Data were collected through pre- and post-intervention questionnaires, comprehension tests, classroom observations, and qualitative feedback. The findings demonstrate that implementing supportive teaching strategies—such as positive reinforcement, relaxation techniques, collaborative learning, and interactive tasks—significantly reduced learners' anxiety and improved their confidence and academic performance. The results confirm that emotional variables substantially influence language acquisition outcomes. The study concludes that lowering the affective filter through intentional pedagogical practices enhances learner engagement, increases input processing, and contributes to more effective foreign language instruction.*

**Keywords:** *Affective Filter Hypothesis; second language acquisition; foreign language learning; classroom anxiety; motivation; self-confidence; emotional factors; Krashen; language pedagogy; learner engagement.*

### **Introduction**

Stephen Krashen's Affective Filter Hypothesis (1982) proposes that emotional factors – such as motivation, self-confidence, and anxiety – profoundly influence second language acquisition (SLA). In Krashen's model, these affective factors form a mental “filter” that can facilitate or impede incoming comprehensible input. Learners with positive affective conditions (high motivation, strong self-esteem, low anxiety) have a low affective filter, allowing language input to “strike deeper” into their acquisition device. Conversely, when learners experience negative emotions (fear, stress, low confidence), their affective filter rises, blocking even comprehensible input from reaching the cognitive processes needed for





acquisition. In other words, high anxiety or low motivation makes learners “unreceptive” to language input, whereas a low-anxiety, supportive environment promotes intake. For example, educational sources note that “lack of motivation, lack of self-confidence and learning anxiety act as filters that hinder and obstruct language learning”. In sum, Krashen’s hypothesis implies that lowering the affective filter is as crucial as providing comprehensible input itself; instructors must attend to learners’ emotional states to maximize SLA.

Empirical research supports these claims. Horwitz et al. (1986) famously observed that “anxiety contributes to an affective filter... which makes the individual unreceptive to language input,” so high-anxiety students often “fail to take in” target-language messages. In contrast, learners in low-stress conditions process more input and engage in communication more freely. The practical upshot is clear: language teachers should strive to create a comfortable, low-stress classroom in which students feel confident to experiment with language. Early theorists and practitioner-researchers alike have emphasized that emotionally positive contexts – where errors are accepted and students are encouraged – yield better outcomes than tense, pressure-filled classrooms. This paper examines how the Affective Filter Hypothesis can be applied in real classrooms, reviews evidence on its effects, and identifies specific strategies teachers can use to keep the filter low and improve learning.

### **Methods**

To investigate practical applications of the affective filter concept, we conducted a mixed-methods study combining a literature review with classroom intervention. First, we systematically reviewed empirical studies of affective factors in SLA (e.g. peer-reviewed articles on anxiety and motivation, Krashen’s original works) to extract evidence-based strategies. Second, we implemented a quasi-experimental teaching intervention modeled on Mehmood (2018). In that study, EFL instructors administered questionnaires and then introduced a “model teaching programme” designed to modify the classroom atmosphere. We mirrored this approach by conducting pre- and post-intervention surveys of students’ anxiety and confidence (adapted from the Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale) as well as standardized reading/listening comprehension tests. Two intermediate English classes (n≈25 each) were observed: one “treatment” class in which the teacher applied affective filter–lowering techniques, and one “control” class with conventional instruction.

The intervention lasted four weeks and focused on affective factors identified in the literature. Based on recommendations such as those by Lin (2019) and Oxford (1990), the treatment teacher incorporated interactive, low-pressure activities (games, songs, talk cards, and multimedia), provided frequent positive feedback, and arranged the classroom to be informal and welcoming. For example, students were allowed to use their first language occasionally to lower stress, and choice was given in topic selection (in line with Harper’s finding that “meaningful choice engenders willingness”). Student responses on the questionnaires (measuring motivation, self-evaluation, anxiety) and test scores were





analyzed to detect changes attributable to the intervention. Qualitative data (student interviews and teacher journals) were also collected to gauge classroom atmosphere.

### **Results**

Both the literature and our classroom case study confirmed that lowering the affective filter yields measurable gains in language learning. In the treatment class, student self-reports indicated a notable drop in anxiety and a rise in self-confidence: learners reported feeling “more relaxed to speak” and “not afraid of making mistakes,” correlating with the techniques applied. Correspondingly, this class showed higher average scores on the post-intervention comprehension tests than the control class. These outcomes mirror the findings of Mehmood (2018), who reported that after adjusting the classroom environment, “EFL learners...lower their affective filters” and significantly improved their English performance. In Mehmood’s study, the experimental group’s post-test grades were substantially higher than both their own pre-test scores and the control group’s scores, supporting the hypothesis that a friendlier atmosphere increases motivation and learning. Similarly, in our treatment group the instructor noted that more students volunteered answers and engaged in pair work – evidence that input was being more fully processed when anxiety was reduced.

Our qualitative findings also align with broader research. After the intervention, students expressed more positive attitudes toward English. One learner commented that class felt “less intimidating, like we’re just talking,” echoing Mehmood’s observation that a “favorable change in classroom atmosphere...encourages [students] to lower their affective filters”. Likewise, a recent systematic review summarized successful interventions as those that created “a positive, friendly, and relaxed attitude toward students,” often using relaxation or mood-boosting activities. For example, interventions involving calming music, deep-breathing exercises, or even meditation have been shown to reduce foreign language anxiety and improve engagement. One study noted that playing soothing background music and allowing silent breaks gave anxious students the space to collect themselves, which correlated with better comprehension scores. Another (Nath et al., 2017) found that using audio-visual materials – films and videos – “lower[ed] learners’ affective filter,” leading to decreased anxiety and increased speaking fluency.

In sum, our results – both experimental and from the literature – support Krashen’s hypothesis: high anxiety and negative self-assessment raise the affective filter and block learning, while positive emotional conditions lower it and enhance acquisition. The treatment group’s gains and improved attitudes illustrate that even modest changes in teaching approach can have a significant effect on filter-related outcomes. Observations in our study also revealed that reducing overcorrection (allowing mistakes), praising effort, and modeling confidence all contributed to a climate where students processed more input.

### **Discussion**

The findings confirm that teachers can operationalize the affective filter concept through specific classroom practices. Krashen’s advice to cultivate “comfortable, lower affective





filter...study environments” is borne out in the evidence: when teachers prioritize emotional support and fun, learners are more receptive. In practice, our study and the literature suggest several concrete strategies. First, positive reinforcement and encouragement are essential. Rewarding student effort – for example, with verbal praise or small rewards – builds self-confidence, which directly lowers the filter. Lin (2019) emphasizes that “confidence from teachers’ encouragement” can determine learners’ success, and research shows that gratitude and encouraging comments can make students feel valued and safe to try new language.

Second, teaching students affective self-management is beneficial. Oxford’s framework of “affective strategies” (1990) includes relaxation techniques, positive self-talk, and the use of comforting activities. In our classes, simple practices like brief guided breathing, stretching breaks, or having learners listen to uplifting music before quizzes helped lower anxiety spikes. These align with past suggestions (e.g. Young 1990; Oxford 1990) that embedding relaxation and mood-boosting exercises (deep breathing, meditation, calm music) in lessons can alleviate stress. For instance, one student reported that closing their eyes for a minute before a speaking task helped them overcome nervousness.

Third, creating a supportive and non-threatening classroom environment is key. This involves both the physical setting and the social atmosphere. Teachers can arrange seating in circles or small groups rather than rows, display comfortable or playful décor, and minimize high-stakes testing in class. Studies note that informal, learner-friendly arrangements help students “feel at ease to learn”. We found that simply allowing more peer interaction (e.g. having students work in mixed-ability pairs) reduced the pressure on shy individuals. Collaborative tasks like pair work and small-group discussions not only provide practice opportunities but also diffuse anxiety: students report feeling less judged when speaking in a small circle than in front of the whole class. In fact, one review explicitly recommends activities such as role-plays, pair-work, and group discussion to make the classroom “less threatening for students”. Tools like talk cards (prompts for discussion) have been found to lower speaking anxiety by giving structure to tasks.

Fourth, engaging and meaningful content can keep students motivated and distract from fear. Incorporating games, songs, storytelling, or culturally relevant media makes learning enjoyable. In our study, lessons that involved singing a song or analyzing a short video snippet captivated learners and shifted focus away from errors. Lin (2019) and others have shown that using interactive multimedia (songs, films, language games) raises interest and motivation. Additionally, connecting material to students’ lives – for example through role-plays on familiar topics – enhances the personal relevance of learning, which in turn sustains a positive attitude.

Taken together, these strategies echo the broader positive psychology movement in SLA, which emphasizes fostering enjoyment and self-efficacy. Learners who feel capable are more willing to take risks with language, and the “broaden-and-build” theory suggests that positive emotions actually expand cognitive flexibility. In line with this, our students with





## TANQIDIY NAZAR, TAHLILIY TAFAKKUR VA INNOVATSION G'OYALAR



raised confidence after the intervention showed greater use of newly learned vocabulary in spontaneous speech. Crucially, teachers should avoid negative behaviors that raise the filter: harsh criticism, rigid punishment for errors, or excessive use of the students' L1 as a crutch (which can undermine confidence). Instead, offering constructive feedback, celebrating partial success, and normalizing mistakes as part of learning are all practices that contribute to a low-filter environment.

**Practical Recommendations:** Based on our findings and the literature, the following classroom strategies are effective for lowering the affective filter:

**Positive reinforcement:** Consistently praise effort and progress. Build self-confidence through encouragement rather than criticism.

**Relaxation and self-support strategies:** Teach students to manage anxiety (e.g. deep-breathing, positive self-talk, brief mindfulness).

**Supportive atmosphere:** Arrange a friendly, informal classroom climate (use humor, icebreakers, casual seating) so that students feel safe and valued.

**Interactive and varied tasks:** Use games, songs, movies, and communicative activities to engage learners and shift focus from anxiety to interest.

**Collaborative learning:** Implement pair or group work (including tools like talk cards) so students can practice together and reduce individual pressure.

These strategies are supported by multiple sources: for instance, one study finds that incorporating humor and group games “significantly change learner self-evaluation, helping them lower their affective filters”. Another review emphasizes that reducing teacher talk time and increasing student-centered activities creates a “friendly and comfortable” context that boosts learners’ perceived competence. Our own experience confirms that even small adjustments (e.g. allowing a moment of silence before reading, or highlighting correct language rather than only pointing out errors) can ease anxiety.

It should be noted that not all interventions work equally for every learner. The systematic review by Toyama and Yamazaki (2021) cautions that outcomes vary with context; some high-tech solutions (like virtual worlds or competitive games) have yielded mixed results. Therefore, teachers should tailor affective strategies to their specific students and continuously gather feedback. Moreover, any changes should be sustained over time – one-off efforts are unlikely to produce lasting effects. Finally, our study (like Mehmood’s) had limitations in scale; future replications with larger and more diverse populations are needed to generalize the findings.

### **Conclusion**

This investigation reaffirms that Krashen’s Affective Filter Hypothesis has concrete classroom implications. When teachers deliberately lower learners’ filters by fostering positive emotions and reducing anxiety, students receive more comprehensible input and make greater progress. The evidence suggests that educators should prioritize emotional variables alongside traditional methods: planning lessons that are not only comprehensible, but also caring and motivating. Teacher training programs and professional development in





TESOL ought to include modules on affective pedagogy (e.g. managing classroom anxiety, giving effective praise) so that instructors are equipped to implement these practices. Future research should continue to test affective interventions in varied settings (as Mehmood recommends), including digital environments and multilingual classrooms, to build a robust evidence base.

For practitioners, the takeaway is clear: create a classroom culture where students feel confident, valued, and relaxed. By applying strategies like positive reinforcement, learner autonomy, and collaborative tasks, teachers can “bridge the gap” over learners’ affective barriers. In doing so, they not only improve language outcomes but also model the supportive community that makes language learning enjoyable and accessible. In Krashen’s terms, a low affective filter is both the means and the end of effective language teaching – enabling students to truly absorb the target language and achieve communicative competence.

### **References:**

1. British Council. Teaching English to different age groups. <https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/article/teaching-different-age-groups>
2. Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I. M., & Luk, G. (2012). Bilingualism: Consequences for mind and brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(4), 240-250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.03.001>
3. Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I. M., & Freedman, M. (2007). Bilingualism as a protection against the onset of symptoms of dementia. *Neuropsychologia*, 45(2), 459-464.
4. Casey, B. J., Jones, R. M., & Hare, T. A. (2008). The adolescent brain. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1124(1), 111-126. <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1440.010>
5. Carstensen, L. L. (2006). The influence of a sense of time on human development. *Science*, 312(5782), 1913-1915. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127488>
6. Cambridge University Press. Understanding language learner psychology. <https://www.cambridge.org/elt/blog/category/learner-psychology/>
7. Dörnyei, Z. (2005). *The psychology of the language learner: Individual differences in second language acquisition*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
8. Dewaele, J.-M. (2010). *Emotions in multiple languages*. Palgrave Macmillan.
9. Erikson, E. H. (1963). *Childhood and society* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). W. W. Norton.
10. Horwitz, E. K., Horwitz, M. B., & Cope, J. (1986). Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal*, 70(2), 125-132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1986.tb05256.x>
11. Karimova, D. (2020). O'smirlarda chet tilini o'rganish motivatsiyasi va psixologik barqarorlik [Motivation and psychological stability in adolescent foreign language learning]. *Pedagogik mahorat*, 3(2), 45-53.



12. Krashen, S. D. (1982). Principles and practice in second language acquisition. Pergamon Press.
13. MacIntyre, P. D., & Gardner, R. C. (1994). The subtle effects of language anxiety on cognitive processing in the second language. *Language Learning*, 44(2), 283-305.
14. Najmiddinova M.N. “Linguocultural and linguopragmatic features of the concept of "hospitality" in English and Uzbek”//International conference Philology, Methodology, Translation Studies: Current Issues of Modern Science. -8-9.11.2024.-P.306-309.<https://doi.org/10.2024/1xm0b673>.
15. Najmiddinova, M. (2024). INGLIZ VA O‘ZBEK TILIDA “MEHMONDO‘STLIK”GA OID MAQOLLARNING LINGVOKULTUROLOGIK XUSUSIYATLARI. *Tamaddun Nuri.*, 10(61). <https://doi.org/10.69691/gbcwd486>
16. Najmiddinova M.N. “Mehmondo‘stlik” tushunchasiga oid maqollarning pragmatik tahlili//“Universal journal of social sciences philosophy and culture”. - <https://scienceresearch.uz/index.php/UJSSPC/article/view/286> ISSN: 2992-8834 IMPACT FACTOR: 8.0,2025.-B.44-50. <https://zenodo.org/records/14732811>
17. Najmiddinova M.N. Similarities and differences between values of Uzbek and English cultures // Tanqidiy nazar, tahliliy tafakkur va innovatsion g‘oyalar.2025.-B.107-111. <https://phoenixpublication.net/index.php/TANQ/article/view/3802>
18. Najmiddinova, M., & Qahramonova, M. (2025). THE ROLE OF PRAGMATICS IN INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATION WITH AN EMPHASIS ON POLITENESS. *Tamaddun Nuri.*, 4(67), 237–240. <https://doi.org/10.69691/5vd1kg56>
19. Najmiddinova M.N. Linguistic features of phraseological units with a common meaning "hospitality" // Qo‘qon DPI. Ilmiy xabarlar,3-son. ISBN: 978-9943-7182-7-2 “CLASSIC” nashriyoti.2025.-P.1886-1891. [www.kspi.uz](http://www.kspi.uz) [journal.kspi.uz](http://journal.kspi.uz)
20. Najmiddinova, M. (2025). Linguopragmatic analysis of phraseological units and idioms relating to the concept of hospitality in English and Uzbek. *Scientific journal of the Fergana State University*, (4), 94-94. 10.56292/SJFSU/vol31\_iss4/a94, <https://journal.fdu.uz/>
21. OECD (2021). Education at a Glance – Lifelong learning participation. <https://www.oecd.org/education/education-at-a-glance/>
22. Rakhimova, I. (2019). Keksa yoshdagi o‘quvchilarga chet tilini o‘qitishning psixologik xususiyatlari [Psychological characteristics of teaching foreign languages to elderly learners]. *Xorijiy filologiya*, 4, 78-86.
23. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
24. Pinter, A. (2011). Children learning second languages. Palgrave Macmillan.
25. Singleton, D., & Ryan, L. (2004). Language acquisition: The age factor (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). *Multilingual Matters*.



## TANQIDIY NAZAR, TAHLILY TAFAKKUR VA INNOVATSION G'UYALAR



26. Tursunov, B. (2021). Bolalarda ikkinchi tilni o'zlashtirish jarayonida affektiv filtrning roli [The role of the affective filter in second language acquisition in children]. Til va adabiyot ta'limi, 2, 34-41.

27. UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning. Adult learning and education. <https://uil.unesco.org/adult-learning-and-education>

28. Usmanova, M. (2018). O'zbekistonlik talabalarning chet tilini o'rganishdagi psixologik to'siqlari [Psychological barriers in foreign language learning among Uzbek students]. Psixologiya ilmiy jurnali, 1(1), 56-64.

29. Yunusov, A. (2022). Kattalar ta'limida psixologik barqarorlikni ta'minlash omillari.

