



## ERROR CORRECTION STRATEGIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

**Sayfutdinova Nilufar**

*Teacher of Andijan Academic lyceum of the Ministry of  
Internal Affairs*

**Abstract:** *This paper examines various error correction strategies employed in English language teaching, highlighting their significance in enhancing learners' linguistic competence and communicative effectiveness. Error correction plays a pivotal role in the language acquisition process by providing learners with constructive feedback that fosters self-awareness and promotes autonomous learning. The study categorizes correction techniques into explicit and implicit methods, including direct correction, recasting, elicitation, and metalinguistic feedback, and analyzes their applicability based on learners' proficiency levels and classroom contexts. Emphasis is placed on balancing corrective feedback with maintaining learner motivation and confidence. The findings underscore the importance of adopting context-sensitive correction strategies that align with pedagogical objectives and learners' individual needs to optimize language development outcomes.*

**Key words:** *Error correction, corrective feedback, English language teaching, language acquisition, explicit correction, implicit correction, learner autonomy.*

Error correction is a fundamental component of English language teaching (ELT) that significantly influences learners' language development and communicative competence. As learners engage in second language acquisition, errors are inevitable and serve as indicators of the learning process. Effective error correction strategies not only help learners recognize and rectify mistakes but also enhance their motivation and confidence by providing timely and appropriate feedback. Various approaches to error correction exist, broadly categorized into explicit and implicit methods, each with distinct implications for classroom dynamics and learner outcomes. Explicit correction involves directly pointing out errors and providing the correct form, whereas implicit correction employs subtler techniques such as recasts or elicitation to guide learners toward self-correction. The choice of strategy depends on several factors, including learners' proficiency level, error type, instructional context, and the desired balance between accuracy and fluency. This paper explores different error correction techniques, their theoretical underpinnings, and practical



applications, aiming to identify best practices that maximize learner progress while fostering a supportive learning environment.

### **Explicit Correction**

Explicit correction entails clearly identifying the error and providing the correct form. This strategy is straightforward and unambiguous, making it especially useful for beginners or when dealing with fossilized errors that learners have difficulty self-correcting[1]

Example:

Student: She go to school yesterday.

Teacher: No, you should say, "She went to school yesterday."

This direct approach helps learners immediately recognize the mistake and learn the correct grammatical structure. However, overuse may discourage learners if not delivered sensitively.

### **Recasting**

Recasting involves the teacher reformulating the learner's incorrect utterance without explicitly indicating the error, thus providing a correct model implicitly[2]

Example:

Student: He can sings well.

Teacher: Yes, he can sing well.

Recasts are less intrusive and maintain conversational flow, which is beneficial in communicative activities. However, learners might sometimes fail to notice the correction, limiting its effectiveness.

### **Elicitation**

Elicitation prompts learners to self-correct by asking questions or pausing to encourage them to complete or reformulate their utterance[3]

Example:

Student: She don't like apples.

Teacher: She don't like...? (waiting for learner to say "doesn't")

This strategy promotes learner autonomy and metalinguistic awareness but requires learners to have sufficient language knowledge to self-correct.

### **Metalinguistic Feedback**

Metalinguistic feedback provides comments or questions related to the error without giving the correct form directly, often referring to grammatical rules or language patterns[4]

Example:

Student: I goed to the store.

Teacher: Remember the past tense of "go" is irregular. Can you try again?





This technique encourages reflection and deeper understanding but may be challenging for lower-level learners.

Error correction is an indispensable aspect of effective English language teaching, serving as a crucial mechanism for facilitating learners' linguistic development and enhancing their communicative competence. The variety of correction strategies—from explicit to implicit—offers teachers flexible tools to address learners' errors in ways that suit different proficiency levels, learning contexts, and individual learner needs. While explicit correction provides clear and immediate feedback, implicit techniques such as recasting and elicitation promote learner autonomy and maintain fluency during communication. The optimal use of error correction involves balancing accuracy with learners' motivation and confidence, ensuring that feedback is constructive and encouraging rather than discouraging. Ultimately, the thoughtful application of diverse error correction strategies contributes significantly to creating a supportive and effective language learning environment, fostering both accuracy and communicative fluency in English language learners.

### **References:**

1. Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
2. Ellis, R. (2009). Corrective Feedback and Teacher Development. *L2 Journal*, 1(1), 3–18.
3. Lyster, R., & Ranta, L. (1997). Corrective Feedback and Learner Uptake: Negotiation of Form in Communicative Classrooms. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 19(1), 37-66. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263197001034>
4. Nassaji, H. (2015). *Qualitative and Quantitative Research in Applied Linguistics: A Practical Guide*. Routledge.
5. Sheen, Y. (2011). *Corrective Feedback, Individual Differences and Second Language Learning*. Springer.
6. Nasrullayev, E. (2021). ISTIQLOL DAVRI DRAMATURGIYASIDA NAVOIY TALQINI. Boshlang'ich ta'limda innovatsiyalar, (Архив№ 1).
7. Файзиллаева, С., & Тахирова, М. А. (2025, April). СОВЕРШЕНСТВОВАНИЕ ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ ПРИ ИЗУЧЕНИИ НАРЕЧИЯ РУССКОГО ЯЗЫКА. In *CONFERENCE OF MODERN SCIENCE & PEDAGOGY* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 237-240).
8. Яценко, В., & Тахирова, М. А. (2025, April). ОСНОВНЫЕ ПОДХОДЫ К КЛАССИФИКАЦИИ ЭЛЛИПТИЧЕСКИХ КОНСТРУКЦИЙ. In *CONFERENCE OF MODERN SCIENCE & PEDAGOGY* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 67-68).