- 3. https://study.com/academy/lesson/what-is-a-lingua-franca-definition-examples.html#:~:text=A%20lingua%20franca%20is%20a,that%20means%20'

 Frankish%20tongue
- 4. Kahane, Henry Romanos (1958). The Lingua Franca in the Levant.
- 5. "The role of lingua francas in international communication" by Robert Phillipson in Applied Linguistics
- 6. Lingua Franca. Communicating across cultures by John Edwards.

BALANCED BILINGUALISM AND EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONING IN CHILDREN

Temirova Durdona To`ra qizi

UZSWLU thrird-year-student

Annotation. This extant research suggests bilingualism is associated with enhanced cognitive effects, most evident in attention and executive functioning (EF). The current study examined the contributions of balance in the bilingualism (Spanish–English) of children to performance-based measures and caregiver ratings of EF. Participants included 30 bilingual children. Balance inchildren's bilingualism was correlated with caregiver ratings of task initiation.

Keywords. Bilingualism, cognitive control, attention, executive functioning, early childhood

Current proof proposes openness to two languages, typically from birth, can emphatically influence the cognitived evelopment of kids, specifically in respects to attention and chief control (see Adesope, Lavin, Thompson and Ungerleider, 2010 and Bialystok, 2011 forreview). These constructive outcomes are frequently noticed ontasks including remarkable conflict/incongruence or selective attention. The mental corresponds of bilingualism have been proposed to emerge from joint language activation, which may require the presence of an attentional orinhibitory control component to permit one to go to to and utilize what is happening

significant language framework (Green,1998.) Consideration and leader control are commonlyconsidered to be parts of the more extensive domainof chief working (EF). This term alludes to those capabilities liable for arranging and organizing behavior and controlling one's activities, sentiments, and thoughts (Gioia, Isquith, Kenworthy and Barton, 2002). In their broadly acknowledged solidarity and variety model, Miyake, Friedman, Emerson, Witzki, Howerter and Wager (2000) partitioned the leader capabilities into threecore parts: hindrance, refreshing/working memory, and moving, underlining that these parts can be differentiated from each other yet in addition contribute toward unified EF.

Adjusted Bilingualism.

Balance in youngsters' bilingualism, or the degree towhich one has comparable proficiency in both acquiredlanguages, is related with their exhibition on somecognitive assignments (Bialystok and Majumder, 1998). Bialystokand Majumder analyzed the presentation of two bilingual groups of youngsters, one with adjusted bilingualism and one without (depicted as "to some extent bilingual"). Thebalanced bilingual gathering beat their partially bilingual peers on errands requiring visuomotor constructionand arranging. All the more as of late, Vega and Fernandez (2011) and Bialystok and Barac (2012) made files ofbalance in the bilingualism of their examples, using these records to anticipate execution on undertakings. Vega and Fernandez (2011) tracked down that offset in bilingualismwas connected with perseveration on the Wisconsi Card Arranging Test (WCST; Heaton, 1993), suggesting expected connection between adjusted bilingualismand moving. Bialystok and Barac (2012) found that aratio of adjusted bilingualism was significantly related toperformance on metalinguistic tasks. While these outcomes are promising, further researchis expected to analyze the connection among balancedbilingualism and mental improvement in kids. Inaddition, no examinations to date have analyzed the cognitivecorrelates of bilingualism considering the everydayapplications of EF abilities. To address these holes inknowledge, this study analyzed the commitment ofbalanced bilingualism to

execution on EF assignments and aily EF appraisals in Spanish-English talking bilingualchildren. Given past findings, it was hypothesized that balance in bilingualism would significantly predict performance on an errand requiring attentional control.

Executive functioning tasks.

Each child completed three EF tasks: the Children's StroopTask, the Towertask and a selectiveattention task. The Children's Strooptask working memory andinhibition and requires children to respond to cards thathave a white face with a picture of a sun or a black face withpictures of the moon and stars. They are to say "Night" when seeing the sun card and "Day" when seeing themoon and stars card. The total number of initially correctresponses was used to measure performance on this task. The Tower task from the NEPSY involves inhibition, working memory, and shifting andrequires children to move three colored balls to matcha target position on a set of pegs. They must achievethis target position within a set number of moves and a time limit while also following specific movement rules. The total number of trials passed was used to measureperformance on this task. The selective attention task was included as a measure of attentional control. In this task, children are briefly presented with a stimulus card (fourper condition), after which they are asked to immediately select two shapes that match those on the stimulus card. The two shapes appear as a pair on the stimulus cardand must be matched by shape (circle, square, triangle orstar) and color. The task increases gradually in difficulty and involves four conditions, which vary by the form of the distraction presented. The first condition involves no distraction and only one pair of presented shapes to match. The second condition involves the placement of a mirror immediately next to the stimul. The third and fourthconditions involve the presentation of extra shapes on thestimulus cards that vary in the number of their groupings(simple distracter condition) and by the number of their groupings and their size (complex distracter condition). In all of these conditions, the child is instructed to attend to and match only the two small shapes that are groupedtogether. Before beginning this task, the child

participantswere asked to name each shape and color to ensure theypossessed the necessary prerequisite skills to complete thetask. The total number of correct responses was used tomeasure performance on each condition.

REFERENCES

- Adesope, O. O., Lavin, T., Thompson, T., & Ungerleider, C.(2010). A systematic review and meta-analysis of thecognitive correlates of bilingualism. Review of EducationalR
- Schneider, W., & Bjroklund, D. F. (1998). Memory. In W. Damon(Editor-in-Chief) & D. Kuhn & R. S. Siegler (Vol.Eds.), Handbook of child psychology: Vol 2. Cognition, perception, and language (5th ed., pp. 467–521). New York: John Wiley and Sons
- Are therebilingual advantages on nonlinguistic interference tasks? Implications
 for the plasticity of executive control processes. Psychonomic Bulletin &
 Review, 18, 625–65
- 4. Bilingual advantages in workingmemory revisited: A latent variable examination. Learning and Individual Differences, 32, 168–17
- 5. Blaye, A., Coutya, J., & Bialystok, E.(2011). The effects of bilingualism on toddlers' executivefunctioning. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology,108, 567

LINGUISTIC COMPETENCE

Tolibova Robiya

O'zbekiston Jahon tillari universiteti Scientific Supervisor: Gulrukh Elmuradova

Abstract. This thesis examines the idea of linguistic competence in relation to learning a second language, with an emphasis on how people acquire and show mastery of a new language. The study looks at the several aspects of language