PRAGMATICS IN SOCIETY

Baxtiyorova Dilnora Mirali qizi
ESL teacher, Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service

Abstract; *Pragmatics is a branch of linguistics concerned with how meaning is produced and understood in actual communicative contexts. It examines the interaction between language, context, and society, focusing on the ways speakers employ language purposefully to fulfill social intentions. This article analyzes the role of pragmatics in society by discussing its theoretical background, core concepts, and practical relevance in everyday communication, institutional settings, intercultural interaction, and digital discourse. It emphasizes that pragmatic competence is essential for successful communication and social cohesion, as language use is closely connected to social norms, cultural practices, and power relationships.*

Keywords; *Pragmatics, context, communication, politeness, discourse, speech acts, implicature, presupposition, deixis, pragmatic competence, social interaction, cross-cultural communication, institutional discourse, digital communication*

Pragmatics is a branch of linguistics that studies how language is used in real-life situations and how meaning is constructed not only through words and grammar, but also through context, intention, and social norms. Unlike semantics, which focuses on the literal meaning of words and sentences, pragmatics examines how speakers and listeners negotiate meaning in interaction. In society, language is never used in a vacuum; it is shaped by cultural expectations, power relations, social identities, and situational contexts. As a result, pragmatics plays a crucial role in understanding communication within families, educational institutions, workplaces, media, and intercultural settings. This article explores pragmatics in society by examining its theoretical foundations, key concepts, and practical applications. It discusses how pragmatic principles guide everyday communication, how social factors influence language use, and why pragmatic competence is essential for effective interaction. The article also highlights the role of pragmatics in cross-cultural communication, digital discourse, and social change, demonstrating its relevance in modern society.

The development of pragmatics as a linguistic discipline is closely linked to the work of philosophers and linguists in the twentieth century. Scholars such as J. L. Austin, John Searle, H. P. Grice, and later Levinson and Brown and Levinson made significant contributions to the field. Austin introduced the concept of speech act theory, emphasizing that utterances do not merely describe reality but can also perform actions. For example, saying "I apologize" is not just a statement but an act of apologizing [5; 10]. John Searle further developed speech act theory by classifying speech acts into categories such as assertives, directives, commissives, expressives,

and declarations. This classification helped linguists understand how different types of utterances function in communication [5; 23]. Meanwhile, H. P. Grice introduced the Cooperative Principle and conversational maxims, which explain how speakers generally cooperate to make conversation meaningful and efficient [2; 30]. These theoretical foundations laid the groundwork for understanding how meaning is shaped by context and social interaction. Pragmatics thus emerged as a discipline that bridges linguistics, sociology, psychology, and philosophy, making it particularly relevant for analyzing language in society.

Several key concepts are central to the study of pragmatics. These include context, deixis, implicature, presupposition, politeness, and speech acts. Context refers to the situational, social, and cultural factors that influence how an utterance is interpreted. Without context, many utterances would be ambiguous or meaningless. For example, the sentence "It is cold here" can function as a simple statement, a request to close a window, or a complaint, depending on the context. Deixis involves words and expressions whose meaning depends on the speaker's perspective, such as "I," "you," "here," "now," and "that." These expressions require contextual information for interpretation and reflect the social roles and relationships between participants in communication. Implicature refers to meaning that is implied rather than explicitly stated. According to Grice, speakers often convey additional meanings by flouting or observing conversational maxims. For instance, if someone says, "Some of the students passed the exam," it may imply that not all students passed, even though this is not explicitly stated. Presupposition involves assumptions that speakers take for granted when making an utterance. For example, the sentence "She stopped smoking" presupposes that the person used to smoke. Presuppositions reflect shared knowledge and social expectations within a community. Politeness is another crucial concept in pragmatics, especially in social interaction. Brown and Levinson's politeness theory explains how speakers use language to maintain social harmony and manage face, which refers to a person's public self-image [1; 61]. Politeness strategies vary across cultures and social contexts, highlighting the social dimension of pragmatic behavior.

In everyday social interaction, pragmatics guides how people choose appropriate forms of expression. Speakers adjust their language based on factors such as age, gender, social status, and relationship with the listener. For example, the way a student speaks to a teacher is usually more formal and polite than the way the same student speaks to a close friend. These choices reflect social norms and expectations. Turn-taking, topic management, and conversational repair are also pragmatic aspects of social interaction. Turn-taking rules help participants know when to speak and when to listen, ensuring smooth communication. Topic management involves introducing, maintaining, or changing topics in a way that is socially acceptable. Conversational repair refers to strategies used to correct misunderstandings or errors during interaction. Pragmatics also plays a role in expressing emotions, attitudes, and

identities. Through intonation, word choice, and indirectness, speakers can signal sarcasm, irony, politeness, or solidarity. These pragmatic cues help listeners interpret not only what is said, but also how it is meant.

Language use in institutional settings such as schools, courts, hospitals, and workplaces is highly structured and governed by specific pragmatic norms. In educational contexts, teachers use directives, explanations, and feedback to manage classroom interaction. Students, in turn, learn to interpret and produce appropriate pragmatic forms, such as asking questions politely or participating in discussions. In legal settings, pragmatics is essential for understanding how meaning is constructed in courtroom discourse. Lawyers and judges rely on precise language, implicatures, and presuppositions to argue cases and interpret laws [5; 45]. Misunderstandings of pragmatic meaning can have serious consequences in such contexts. Workplace communication also illustrates the importance of pragmatics. Requests, refusals, and negotiations must be handled carefully to maintain professional relationships. Indirect language is often used to soften requests or criticism, reflecting power relations and organizational culture. Effective pragmatic competence can contribute to success and cooperation in professional environments. One of the most significant areas of pragmatic research is cross-cultural communication. Different cultures have different norms for politeness, directness, and conversational style. What is considered polite or appropriate in one culture may be seen as rude or confusing in another. For example, some cultures value directness and explicitness, while others prefer indirectness and implicit meaning. Cross-cultural pragmatic failure occurs when speakers misinterpret or misuse pragmatic norms in a foreign language or culture [4; 112]. This type of failure can lead to misunderstandings, stereotypes, and communication breakdowns, even when grammatical competence is high. Therefore, learning a language involves not only mastering vocabulary and grammar but also developing pragmatic competence.

In an increasingly globalized world, cross-cultural pragmatics has practical importance in diplomacy, international business, education, and migration. Awareness of pragmatic differences can promote mutual understanding and reduce conflict in multicultural societies. The rise of digital communication has created new contexts for pragmatic analysis. Social media, emails, messaging apps, and online forums have their own pragmatic conventions. Emojis, abbreviations, and punctuation are used to convey tone, emotion, and intention in the absence of face-to-face cues. Online communication also raises questions about politeness, identity, and power. For example, the use of formal or informal language in emails can signal respect or familiarity. Pragmatic norms in digital spaces are constantly evolving, influenced by technological changes and social trends. Understanding pragmatics in digital communication is essential for effective interaction in modern society.

Misinterpretation of tone or intention can easily occur online, highlighting the continued relevance of pragmatic awareness.

Pragmatics is closely linked to social change because language both reflects and shapes social reality. Changes in social values, such as increased awareness of gender equality and inclusivity, are reflected in pragmatic choices. For example, the use of gender-neutral language and respectful forms of address demonstrates changing social norms. Political discourse and media communication also rely heavily on pragmatic strategies. Politicians use implicatures, presuppositions, and framing to influence public opinion [3; 210]. Understanding these pragmatic techniques enables citizens to critically evaluate messages and resist manipulation.

Thus, pragmatics is not only a tool for analyzing communication but also a means of understanding broader social processes and transformations. Pragmatics in society provides valuable insights into how language functions as a social tool. By focusing on context, intention, and interaction, pragmatics helps explain how meaning is created and interpreted in everyday life [2; 98]. From casual conversations to institutional discourse, from cross-cultural encounters to digital communication, pragmatic principles shape how people communicate and relate to one another. Acquiring pragmatic competence is crucial for successful communication, particularly in today's interconnected and globalized society. A strong grasp of pragmatics enables individuals to interpret social norms, prevent miscommunications, and interact with others more effectively. As society progresses, the study of pragmatics will continue to play an important role in understanding the evolving relationship between language and social interaction.

References

1. Brown P. and Levinson S. C. 'Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage', - Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987, -345p.

2. Grice H. P. 'Studies in the Way of Words', -Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1989, -394p.

3. Levinson S. C. 'Pragmatics', -Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983, -400p.

4. Mey J. L. 'Pragmatics: An Introduction', (2nd ed.) Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 2001, -416p

5. Searle J. R. 'Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language', -Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1969, -203p.